CRIMINAL JUSTICE IN LOUISIANA

JEFFERSON PARISH
“We must measure Criminal Justice success based on our ability to make the community safer, while holding offenders appropriately accountable and providing services that reduce the risk that they cause additional harm. The opportunities to do this must be evaluated at every step of the justice process.”

James M. Le Blanc

The mission of Corrections Services is to **ENHANCE PUBLIC SAFETY** through the **safe and secure incarceration** of offenders, **effective probation/parole supervision** and **proven rehabilitative strategies** that **successfully reintegrate** offenders into society, as well as to **assist individuals and communities victimized by crime.**
Louisiana has the highest incarceration rate in the world

According to the Bureau of Justice Statistics’ latest reports (2016), Louisiana ranks #1 nationally in incarceration rates per 100,000 of total population:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Incarceration Rate in 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Average</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“More than 1% of all males in seven states were in prison on December 31, 2016: Louisiana (1,469 per 100,000 male state residents), Oklahoma (1,207 per 100,000), Mississippi (1,200 per 100,000), Arkansas (1,095 per 100,000), Alabama (1,085 per 100,000), Arizona (1,071 per 100,000), and Texas (1,040 per 100,000).”
## Crime Rate Impacts

**Rate per 100,000 per LCLE Annual Crime Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Crime</th>
<th>Rate in 2007 Prior to Reentry Focus</th>
<th>Rate in 2016 The last available LCLE Crime Report</th>
<th>National Average in 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Murder</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>28.4 (legacy definition)</td>
<td>29.6 (legacy definition)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>141.7</td>
<td>119.1</td>
<td>102.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggravated Assault</td>
<td>541.2</td>
<td>396.4</td>
<td>248.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Theft</td>
<td>353.6</td>
<td>220.8</td>
<td>236.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
First measure of success:
Releases Surpass Admissions and Crime Rates are not increasing.....
Population Demographics
As of 12/31/17 = 33,739

Trend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>39,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>40,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>39,299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>38,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>36,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>35,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>33,739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Institutions 45%
Local Jails 47%
Non-Contract Transitional Work Programs 5%
Contract Transitional Work Programs 3%
Parish of Conviction for Adult Population

- Caddo: 6.6%
- Calcasieu: 3.2%
- E. B. R.: 8.0%
- Jefferson: 11.9%
- Lafayette: 2.9%
- Orleans: 15.9%
- Ouachita: 3.1%
- Rapides: 2.5%
- St. Tammany: 6.2%
- Terrebonne: 2.8%
- Other: 36.9%

5 Parishes make up 48.5% of intake
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Population by Offense Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Serious Crime Commitment</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violent Crimes</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Crimes</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Crimes</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other* Crimes</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other – DUI, Poss of Firearm, Sex Offender Registration, and Vehicular Homicide
## Population by Sentence Length & Average Time Served

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Years Sentenced</th>
<th>Percent of State Population</th>
<th>Percent of Local Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-16</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 - 20</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20 (Fixed Term)</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes
- Nearly 80% of the offenders in state prisons are serving MORE than 10 years.
- Conversely, 72% of those in local jails are serving 10 years or LESS.
- Average time served of State Pop: 10.5 yrs.
- Average time served of Local Pop: 2.11 yrs.
Comparing the Population at State Housing verses Local Housing

State verses Local Housing Pop Trend

Local Facilities 55.1%
State Facilities 44.9%

2011: State 18,843, Local 15,192
2012: State 18,913, Local 15,152
2013: State 18,787, Local 15,192
2014: State 18,430, Local 15,192
2015: State 18,599, Local 15,152
2016: State 20,386, Local 15,192
2017: State 20,866, Local 18,587

Pie chart showing State Facilities: 44.9% and Local Facilities: 55.1%.
P&P Population Demographics

As of 12/31/17 = 71,117
### P&P Population by Offense Type | Sentence Length

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Serious Commitment Crime</th>
<th>Probation</th>
<th>GTPS*</th>
<th>Parole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violent Crimes</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Crimes</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Crimes</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Crimes</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Max Sent (YRS)</th>
<th>Probation</th>
<th>GTPS*</th>
<th>Parole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-16</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending Calculation/Re-calculation</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For P&P cases that completed supervision in 2011, the 5 year recidivism rate is 20.9%.

The true story here is that those who take advantage of the services and supports our officers provide, are more likely to be successful in not returning to prison.
P&P Caseload Deaths

188 between July ‘17 – Jan ‘18

P&P Deaths by Type

- Unknown: 16
- Suicide: 7
- Homicide: 11
- Gun: 24
- Drug/Alcohol: 44
- Cardiac Arrest: 15
- Other Medical: 15
- Diabetes: 2
- Cancer: 14
- Natural: 26
- Drowning: 13
- Automobile/Motocycle: 13

51 resulting from overdoses and suicides.

*Red represents LA-PRI’s Initial Focus.
A total of 104,856

The cost to the state, by type.

- **State**: 14% of $51.82/day
- **TWP**: 3% of $13.41/day
- **Local**: 15% of $24.39/day
- **GTPS**: 28% of $2.56/day
- **Parole**: 3% of $2.56/day
- **Probation**: 37% of $2.56/day
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III. Louisiana Criminal Justice Reform

- PEW Study and Legislative Package – Phase I
- Criminal Justice Institute – Phase II
- JRI Oversight Council
- Grant coordination and evaluation
Phase I: 2017 JRI Legislation

• Changes to Certain Penalties
  – Increased options for probation for offenses with sentences less than 10 years
  – Reduced penalties for simple possession (excluding fentanyl and heroin)
  – Created the Felony Class Task Force
  – Narrowed the application and enhancements of Habitual Offender Statute

• Changes to Parole Eligibility and Good Time
  – Parole eligibility – 25% for non violent, 65% for violent (prospective only)
  – Goodtime calculation rate 35% nonviolent (retroactive)
  – Juvenile Lifer Parole Eligibility – 25 Years
  – Medical treatment furlough
  – Parole Eligibility for 1970’s 2nd Degree Murder lifers
2017 JRI Legislation

• Community Supervision
  – Earned Compliance Credits for non-violent offenders on Supervision (probation and parole) (Good-time)

• Reduce Barriers to Reentry
  – Fines and fees – Judicial discretion to create payment plans
  – Suspension of Child Support while incarcerated
  – SNAP benefits for Drug Offenders- removed restriction

• Data Tracking and Reinvestment of Savings
  – 70% Back in to Criminal Justice
  – 30% State General Fund
  – Annual Report to the Legislature
Population Reduction and Projected Savings

• With this ambitious package, Louisiana is projected to reduce the prison population by 10% and save $262 million over the next decade.

• 70% of these savings – an estimated $184 million – will be reinvested back into the criminal justice system.
Phase II Implementation:
Established the Governor’s JRI Oversight Council

The council meets at least quarterly.

- **Membership:**
  - Secretary LeBlanc, Chairman
  - Rusty Knight, Judge
  - Robert Morrison, Judge
  - John DeRosier, District Attorney
  - Gerald Turlich, Sheriff
  - Norris Henderson, VOTE
  - Elaine Ellerbe, Right on Crime
  - Steve Toups, VP Turner Industry
  - Walt Leger, Representative
  - Danny Martiny, Senator
  - Dan Claitor, Senator
  - Terry Landry, Representative
  - Bernard Boudreaux, Attorney
  - Syrita Steib Martin, Attorney
  - Alanah Odom Hebert, Attorney
Phase II Technical Support

BJA: Crime and Justice Institute (CJI)
• 3 Full Time staff dedicated to DOC for the next 3 years
• Training, Developing Policy, Data Tracking
• $500,000 Grant for Start up implementation
  – Overtime for Time comp and Probation and parole
  – Training for Judges, DAs, Probation and Parole etc
  – Data analytics and Geo Mapping Software
  – JRI Implementation Coordinator
• Developed Practicioner’s Guide for Judges
IV. JRI Reporting as per Act 261

We are working with CJI and LCLE to design a template to track data relative to JRI changes in order to determine how these changes are affecting and impacting our department. This data will be used to create the reporting required by legislation.

- Admissions by type of admit, crime category and average sentence length
- Release by type of release, crime category and average served
- Current Pop by admit type, crime category and CTRP credits
- Parole Hearings and Grant Rate
- Supervision types, crime category, revocations, violations, sanctions, Jail sanctions, earned credits and closures
V. Targeted Interventions Gaining Enhanced Reentry

TIGER: Risk/Needs/Responsivity

The Foundation for our Reentry Plans

Risk assessment
Risk of recidivating

Needs Assessment

Determine what areas need programming
Determine the extent of those needs

Responsivity

Deliver the material in a way that it can be understood and absorbed.
Tailor the information to the recipient
Prioritize key areas (criminogenic needs)

Tiger Timeline

- Continued Programming of Supervision Tool: Q2 2018
- Programming of Prescription Bundles: Q2 2018
- 2nd Wave testing: Q2 2018
- Launch of Institutional Risk/Needs Tool: Q3 2018
- Launch of Supervision Risk/Needs Tool: Q3 2018
- Programming of Output Reports: Q3 2018
- Further Development of tool as BJA allows: Q4 2018-Q4 2019
TIGER: Identifying Where to Focus Resources for the Greatest ROI

- Low Risk
  - Low Need
- Low Risk
  - Med Need
- Low Risk
  - High Need
- Med Risk
  - Low Need
- Med Risk
  - Med Need
- Med Risk
  - High Need
- High Risk
  - Low Need
- High Risk
  - Med Need
- High Risk
  - High Need
VI. LA PRISONER REENTRY INITIATIVE = LA-PRI

Using the National Institute of Corrections’ National Transition from Prison to Community Model, Louisiana has developed a Blueprint and Case Logic Model that supports the implementation of the goals of Justice Reinvestment.

Staff from all disciplines of our operations spent two years formalizing this plan into flow charts and written documents before reaching out to our communities to get them involved.
LA-PRI IST Work

- Implementation Steering Team (IST) is made up of three workgroups and executive/support staff:
  - Getting Ready (Institution)
  - Going Home (Discharge/Transition)
  - Staying Home (Community Supervision)

- Developed a **Reentry Accountability Plan (ReAP)** to guide offender case planning and management updated for each phase.
Louisiana Prisoner Reentry Initiative (LA PRI)

Reentry Accountability Plan (REAP)  Flowchart

PHASE 1: GETTING READY

The Institutional Phase

REAP1: Prison Programming Plan

Facility Programming

Assessment & Classification

Transition Team Meetings*

*Attended by transitional planners, Probation/Parole, service providers, returning citizen, and family

PHASE 2: GOING HOME

The Transitional Phase

REAP2: Parole & Reentry Plan

Release Preparation

Release Decision Making

Supervision & Services

PHASE 3: STAYING HOME

The Community Phase

REAP3: Treatment & Supervision Plan

Revocation Decision Making

REAP4: Discharge & Aftercare Plan

Discharge & Aftercare

Transitional Planners: Work with returning citizens while they are incarcerated preparing them for release and continue to work as partners with Probation and Parole for as long as one year after release.
LA –PRI Structure

Department of Public Safety and Corrections
Administration, Management and Support

Advisory Coalition
Key Stakeholders

LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION STEERING TEAM
Representatives of Services for:
• Employment
• Vocational Training and Education
• Housing
• Healthcare
• Mental Health
• Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services
• Family and Child Welfare Services

Representatives of:
• Legal Services
• US Attorney/ Federal Probation
• Law Enforcement
• Community-based Organizations
• Faith-based Organizations
• Victim Advocates
• Successful Returning Citizens
• Local Jail

Co-Chairs
Phase One; Getting Ready
• Prison or Jail Representative
Phase Two; Going Home
• Parole Representative
Phase Three; Staying Home
• Community Representative, PRESIDING

Community Coordinator

Transition Team
Service Providers appointed by Steering Team

LA-PRI LOCAL LEVEL STRUCTURE
VII. COMMUNITY SUPERVISION

• Total statewide on community supervision is 66,235.
  - Down from 71,819 in November, 2017

• 3936 offenders living in Jefferson, St. Bernard, Plaquemines & Orleans parishes being supervised by Jefferson Parish District. 2297 offenders living in Jefferson Parish supervised by East Jefferson District.
  - 4400 offenders live in Jefferson Parish.

• Resources in Jefferson & Orleans parishes may be tapped to serve offenders being supervised in St. Bernard and Plaquemines parishes.
We need our “COMMUNITY” for Successful Supervision

P&P needs community involvement to enable us to provide a holistic supervision plan.
Effective collaboration is:

- Actionable
- Tangible
- Available
- Follow Through
- Results Oriented
- Feedback
- Positive
- Honest
- Realistic
- Open Communication
- Precise Communication
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Community Supervision

• In Jefferson District, 2,141 are on probation; 1,773 are on parole

• 90 cases are sex offenders

• JPD is comprised of 32 officers; 5 officer supervisors; 1 district administrator- Jon Reeves; JRI Community Resource Coordinator; and 8 support staff

• 163 new cases were processed in the month of May.
Community Supervision

- In East Jefferson District (EJD), 1319 are on probation; 979 are on parole;
- 70 cases are sex offenders
- EJD is comprised of 19 officers; 3 officer supervisors; 1 District Administrator- Joe Dier; JRI Community Resource Coordinator- Kewana Ceasar; and 4 support staff
- 89 new cases were processed in the month of April, average is 90
- Average cases closures are 93 per month in EJD
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Community Supervision

• JPD/EJD District JRI Community Resources Coordinator is Kewana Ceasar
• Specialization of caseloads--sex offenders, drug court, reentry court, Swift & Certain court, veteran’s court
• JPD & EJD utilize the Orleans Day Reporting Center which serves 50-60 participants each month
• Other area JRI Community Resources Coordinators are Stephanie Webb in Baton Rouge District, Mike Phelps in Covington District, Rebecca Ikner in New Orleans District and Phil Adkins in Shreveport District
Jefferson Parish Resource Inventory

- Home Incarceration: Gretna HIP, ShadowTrack, ETOH Monitoring
- Mental Health: JPHSA, West Jefferson Behavioral Services, Beacon Behavioral, NAMI
- Substance Use Disorder Treatment: JPHSA, Gateway, White Dove Ministries, Responsibility House, Greenpath
- Anger Management: Orleans DRC
- Interlock: Smart Start
- Specialty Courts: Drug Court, Reentry Court, Swift & Certain Court & Veteran’s Court
- Day Reporting Center: Orleans Day Reporting Center (DRC)
- Public Transportation: Jefferson Public Transit

- Housing
- Temporary Housing
- Transitional Work Program
- In House Treatment
- Programs at Jefferson Parish Correctional Center
- Victim Impact
VIII. Defining the Roles & Key Players for Input and Partnerships

The community is involved in the Prisoner Reentry Initiative and meeting regularly through our Community Reentry Coalitions.

DPS&C meets with these representatives and other various criminal justice stakeholder groups on a regular basis. This includes our judges, district attorneys, defense attorneys, and all levels of law enforcement on a regular basis.

It will take our collaborative effort to make positive impact.
IX. Jefferson Statistics

• We know stakeholders have a need for data about the offenders coming back to your parish.

• We are working in several areas to identify what data we can share and how that will best be done to help you identify those coming back to your community.
1247 admissions moved into 34 different location codes

2017 Admissions from Jefferson Parish
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Jefferson Releases in 2017 = 1,456

Type of Facility they were released from:
- Local: 78.3%
- State: 21.7%

99 different locations.

87.9% of this population served less than 5 years.

Average Age of this population is 38.6 Years

Jefferson POC Releases by Zip Codes

Other indicates zip codes where the numbers are too small to show up on this chart as a stand alone number.
Jefferson Releases in 2017 – 1,484

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Serious Commitment Crime</th>
<th>% of Population By Longest Sentence Term</th>
<th>% of Population By Most Serious Offense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violent Crimes</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Crimes</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Crimes</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Nonviolent</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Risk Level of those Released in 2017

- **Low**: 581 (40%)
- **High**: 105 (7%)
- **Moderate**: 534 (37%)
- **Unknown**: 236 (16%)

*Offender class is the number of sequential felonies committed for which an inmate has been convicted.
Current Population with Jefferson Parish of Conviction: 3,780

Where they are housed:

State 54.1%
Local 45.9%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Serious Commitment Crime</th>
<th>% of Population By Longest Sentence Term</th>
<th>% of Population By Most Serious Offense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violent Crimes</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Crimes</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Crimes</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Nonviolent</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jefferson Population by Zip Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70001</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70002</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70003</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70005</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70006</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70053</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70056</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70058</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70062</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70065</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70072</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70094</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70121</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Jefferson)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Orleans)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Unknown</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Unknown</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Current Population with Jefferson Parish of Conviction: 3,780

Max Sent to be Served (Yrs) | # of Offenders | Percent of Population
--- | --- | ---
0-2 | 108 | 2.9
3-4 | 207 | 5.5
5-6 | 383 | 10.1
7-10 | 699 | 18.5
11-16 | 606 | 16.0
17 - 20 | 400 | 10.6
>20 (Fixed Term) | 766 | 20.3
Life | 602 | 15.9
Death | 9 | 0.2

Avg Sentence: 18.20 yrs
Offenders Expected to Release to Jefferson between June 2018 – December 2018

428
12% of the Jefferson Convicted Population

81.3% of them will release from a local jail:

Local 81.3%
State 18.8%

Risk Level

- Low: 181 (42%)
- Moderate: 167 (39%)
- High: 37 (9%)
- Unknown: 43 (10%)

Average Age of this population is 34.1 Years
Continued:
Offenders Expected to Release to Jefferson between June 2018 – December 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Serious Commitment Crime</th>
<th>% of Population By Longest Sentence Term</th>
<th>% of Population By Most Serious Offense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violent Crimes</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Crimes</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>45.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Crimes</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Nonviolent</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Max Sent to be Served (Yrs)  # of Offenders Percent
---  ---  ---
0-2  60  14.0
3-4  105 24.5
5-6  115 26.8
7-10 84 19.6
11-16 45 10.5
17 - 20 10 2.3
>20 (Fixed Term) 10 2.3

Avg Sentence: 6.70 yrs

Expected Releases to Jefferson by Zip Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Releases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70001</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70003</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70053</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70056</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70058</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70062</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70065</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70072</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70094</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other...</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Justice Reinvestment Funding

## Return on Investments: Reentry

Reentry Centers, Day Reporting Centers, & Staffing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Re-Entry Services</th>
<th>Day Reporting Centers</th>
<th>Add'l. Re-Entry Positions</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Savings f/Pop Decrease</th>
<th>Net Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 12-13</td>
<td>1,409,536</td>
<td>799,999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,209,535</td>
<td>3,457,283</td>
<td>1,247,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 13-14</td>
<td>1,454,150</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,254,150</td>
<td>10,257,702</td>
<td>8,003,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 14-15</td>
<td>2,290,244</td>
<td>1,190,238</td>
<td>2,214,806</td>
<td>5,695,288</td>
<td>21,192,959</td>
<td>15,497,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 16-17</td>
<td>4,038,587</td>
<td>1,760,699</td>
<td>2,214,806</td>
<td>8,014,092</td>
<td>41,346,904</td>
<td>33,332,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13,093,518</td>
<td>7,638,543</td>
<td>6,644,418</td>
<td>27,376,479</td>
<td>111,423,276</td>
<td>84,046,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.O.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6/28/2018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>30% State</th>
<th>20% OJ</th>
<th>JUSTICE RE-INVESTMENT</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>30% Grants</th>
<th>20% LCLE Vic</th>
<th>50% DOC Inv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October-17</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November-17</td>
<td>$1,171,452</td>
<td>$351,436</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$820,016</td>
<td>$246,005</td>
<td>$164,003</td>
<td>$410,008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December-17</td>
<td>$1,422,205</td>
<td>$426,662</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$995,544</td>
<td>$298,663</td>
<td>$199,109</td>
<td>$497,772</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January-18</td>
<td>$1,655,837</td>
<td>$496,751</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,159,086</td>
<td>$347,726</td>
<td>$231,817</td>
<td>$579,543</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February-18</td>
<td>$1,779,007</td>
<td>$533,702</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,245,305</td>
<td>$373,591</td>
<td>$249,061</td>
<td>$622,652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March-18</td>
<td>$2,136,710</td>
<td>$641,013</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,495,697</td>
<td>$448,709</td>
<td>$299,139</td>
<td>$747,849</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April-18</td>
<td>$1,849,738</td>
<td>$554,921</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,294,817</td>
<td>$388,445</td>
<td>$258,963</td>
<td>$647,408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-18</td>
<td>$1,897,030</td>
<td>$569,109</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,327,921</td>
<td>$398,376</td>
<td>$265,584</td>
<td>$663,961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-18</td>
<td>$1,841,689</td>
<td>$552,507</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,289,182</td>
<td>$386,755</td>
<td>$257,836</td>
<td>$644,591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$13,753,668</td>
<td>$4,126,100</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td><strong>$9,627,568</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,888,270</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,925,514</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,813,784</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY 18 Projected JRI Savings

(To be appropriated in FY19)
Savings Tracking
with PEW Predicted Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>PEW PROJECTED BASELINE POPULATION</th>
<th>PROJECTED POST-CJR POPULATION</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE</th>
<th>SAVINGS</th>
<th>ACTUAL BASELINE PROJECTED POPULATION</th>
<th>ACTUAL POST-CJR POPULATION</th>
<th>STATE INST (BACK OUT OF SAVINGS)</th>
<th>TOTAL DIFFERENCE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE LESS STATE</th>
<th>SAVINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October-17</td>
<td>35919</td>
<td>35919</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>35961</td>
<td>35961</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November-17</td>
<td>36005</td>
<td>36004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$749</td>
<td>36047</td>
<td>33968</td>
<td>-478</td>
<td>2079</td>
<td>1601</td>
<td>$1,171,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December-17</td>
<td>36142</td>
<td>35878</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>$204,191</td>
<td>36184</td>
<td>33739</td>
<td>-564</td>
<td>2445</td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>$1,422,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January-18</td>
<td>36175</td>
<td>35505</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>$518,212</td>
<td>36217</td>
<td>33487</td>
<td>-540</td>
<td>2730</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>$1,655,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February-18</td>
<td>36259</td>
<td>35272</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>$689,518</td>
<td>36301</td>
<td>33389</td>
<td>-307</td>
<td>2912</td>
<td>2605</td>
<td>$1,779,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March-18</td>
<td>36334</td>
<td>35071</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>$976,867</td>
<td>36376</td>
<td>33269</td>
<td>-281</td>
<td>3107</td>
<td>2826</td>
<td>$2,136,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April-18</td>
<td>36271</td>
<td>34715</td>
<td>1556</td>
<td>$1,164,666</td>
<td>36313</td>
<td>33417</td>
<td>-368</td>
<td>2896</td>
<td>2528</td>
<td>$1,849,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-18</td>
<td>36253</td>
<td>34590</td>
<td>1663</td>
<td>$1,286,247</td>
<td>36295</td>
<td>33425</td>
<td>-361</td>
<td>2870</td>
<td>2509</td>
<td>$1,897,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June-18</td>
<td>36236</td>
<td>34472</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>$1,320,354</td>
<td>36278</td>
<td>33425</td>
<td>-336</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2517</td>
<td>$1,841,689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL $6,160,804

TOTAL $13,753,668

6/28/2018
Projected Justice Reinvestment

Community Reinvestment (30% of JRI Reinvestment)
- RFP’s by May 1st and issue Awards for July 1
- Currently working with funders groups to craft the RFP’s – broad enough to allow for creative proposals but yet specific enough to identify needs and propose solutions.
- Focused on 5 parishes of LA-PRI, 48.5% of our admissions.

LCLE Reinvestment for Victim Services
- Better enforcement of victim’s rights
- Increased transparency and accessibility for victims.
- Investment in victim services (i.e. victim advocates, trauma-informed services, shelters, etc.)
- Reducing the likelihood of re-offense and re-victimization.

DOC Internal Reinvestment (50% of JRI Reinvestment)
- 5 P&P Regional Resource Coordinators in the 5 Targeted LA-PRI Parishes (48.5% of population)
- Tentatively, the plan is to reinvest these dollars back into:
  - Increase programming for state inmates at the local level jails,
  - Opening a halfway house pilot programs,
  - Enhancing and expansion of local level regional reentry centers
  - P&P Staffing and Day Reporting Centers
- Local Level Reception Center
- Specialty Courts

Office of Juvenile Justice Reinvestments (second year investment)
XI. Reinvestment Process: Community Grants

• Request for Proposals to be issued in May - awards to be made in July
• Proposals for projects to enhance, expand, promote service coordination that target unmet reentry needs.
• Prioritize the five LA-PRI tier 1 parishes: Caddo, EBR, Jefferson, Orleans, and St. Tammany
• Performance based contracts with annual renewals if performance goals met
RFP- Community Incentive Grant

- Request for Proposals to be issued by mid-May with awards to be made by mid-July
- Proposals for projects to enhance, expand, promote service coordination that target unmet reentry needs
- Prioritize the five LA-PRI tier 1 parishes: Caddo, EBR, Jefferson, Orleans, and St. Tammany
- A mandatory pre-proposal conference will be scheduled to review requirements
- Both collaborative and individual proposals will be considered for award
RFP- Transitional Housing

• Proposals for the development and implementation of transitional housing for re-entering justice-involved citizens in need of housing upon release

• Prioritize the five LA-PRI tier 1 parishes: Caddo, EBR, Jefferson, Orleans, and St. Tammany

• The transitional housing Scope of Work will be consistent with the Bureau of Prison’s Residential Reentry Housing guidelines as appropriate for the population served
XII. FINAL THOUGHTS AND Q&A

• Criminal Justice systems exist to ensure the community is safe and that those who break the law are held accountable for their actions, while reducing the risk that they cause additional harm.

• We can never forget that 95% of felons in Louisiana’s custody will one day legally return to our communities.
  
  – We have to decide if we want them to return to the community with a plan of action for becoming productive citizens or as better criminals.
  
  – If the choice is to have a plan, then we need to provide opportunities for rehabilitation, education, soft skills training, and reentry planning.
Contributing Factors

• We cannot ignore the contributing factors for crime.
  – We must find a way to address mental health and drug use disorder issues for our citizens.
  – We must support programs that stabilize families and reduce the risks of children following in the footsteps of parents who go to jail.

• We cannot clog our prisons with low-risk citizens who could be better served with treatment and supervision.

• We have to investigate and prosecute serious crime and isolate high risk offenders.
What it takes....

- We have to equip the justice system stakeholders and community based tool to address causes of crime, thus preventing crime.

- It takes:
  - Prevention
  - Diversion
  - Safety Focused Incarceration
  - Successful Reintegration

Justice Reinvestment dollars that we are saving from last year’s JRI Package are critical to our ability to improve services that are critical to our success.

James M. Le Blanc, Secretary